The Cycle of Inferiority and Superiority: From Imposition to Projection and Self-Perpetuation

10.6084/m9.figshare.28013819

Andrew Lehti
November 11, 2024

Part of the Collection of:
Cognitive Psychology and the Education System
https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.7532079

Learned behaviors and cognitive biases have perpetuated trait inheritance across generations, stemming from an education system established in the fourth century CE, forming a self-sustaining structure resembling a virus causing humans to deny change.

The Semmelweis Reflex is the tendency to reject new evidence because it contradicts established beliefs. Named after Ignaz Semmelweis, who introduced handwashing to reduce infections but was dismissed for challenging medical norms. After being dismissed from his position, he faced opposition and isolation within the medical community, as his handwashing theories were ridiculed and ignored. Eventually, he was committed to a mental asylum, where he reportedly became violent. Soon after his admission, he sustained a severe wound on his hand, potentially from a beating by the staff or another violent encounter, which led to sepsis. This infection caused his death at just 47.

Updated 12/11/2024

To address common concerns about accessibility, it is assured that no paywall will be implemented. This research will remain freely accessible, as research should inherently be open to all.

Methodology

The research focuses on investigating motives, behaviors, and observations while deliberately avoiding prior exposure to academic findings utilizing my approach which is **extrapolative trial by error.** This approach minimizes anchoring biases that could influence the thought process. After completing the study, academic material is reviewed comprehensively to evaluate alignment with the findings. Typically, the findings correspond closely with established principles, with exceptions limited to nuanced insights not yet formally recognized but widely observed in society. Few contradictions arise with established norms, apart from the integration of multiple established biases into more complex, composite biases that reflect broader systemic issues.

Lehti, Andrew (2024). Extrapolative Trial by Error. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27643080

10.6084/m9.figshare.28013819

Introduction

The cognitive impasse is a phenomenon that fosters a self-perpetuating cycle of mental rigidity, ensuring that the frameworks governing thought remain unchanged even as they are adopted by new individuals and institutions. This persistent recycling of identical intellectual structures—wrapped in new contexts and bodies—explains why the educational system has remained fundamentally unaltered for over 1,400 years. Originating from the Roman model of memory discipline and compliance, these paradigms have spread globally, embedding themselves into the fabric of schools, colleges, universities, and academic institutions worldwide.

While the tools, technologies, and methodologies of education have evolved, the underlying framework remains steadfast, prioritizing conformity and the avoidance of error over critical thinking and adaptability. The cognitive impasse not only sustains this system but also prevents those within it from seeing its flaws clearly—particularly if they are unwilling to confront the discomfort of challenging ingrained beliefs. This resistance to change ensures that educational paradigms persist, reinforcing societal stagnation masked as progress.

10.6084/m9.figshare.28013819

Preface

Here's an uncomfortable truth that took me nearly a decade to grasp: we thrive in the comfort of what's already established. But that comfort, over time, becomes a prison—rigid and unyielding, making change feel impossible. You can present endless data and irrefutable evidence, even on the smallest matters, and still, beliefs refuse to budge.

Take religion and science. They're profoundly different, as you'd expect, yet they share a curious commonality: both are shaped by human systems of thought and tradition. For instance, do you know who laid the foundation of our education system over 1,400 years ago? It has evolved in staggering ways and yet, paradoxically, not at all. Rote memorization—the backbone of that system—leaves the mind brittle, incapable of flexibility. We're at risk of losing something vital, all because we fail to recognize the nuances that render our education system obsolete.

When I speak like this, people often assume I'm against education. I'm not. What I advocate for is a radical overhaul—a complete dismantling of the old framework. My inspiration for a better future? Carl Sagan. He made science come alive for me in ways I didn't fully appreciate as a teenager, sparking curiosity and wonder. That's what education needs: genuine interest—not the passive grind of time spent on books, quizlets, and aptitude tests that we care very little for, but active engagement with ideas that ignite passion and creativity.

We humans are neither open-minded nor closed-minded**. Myself included and it takes only a conscious effort to overcome**. What we humans possess, I have termed: Selective Mindedness. Our minds open only to uncharted territories, to knowledge that doesn't challenge the established but ventures into the undefined cracks between our existing beliefs. Selective-mindedness is both a limitation and a call to action—a reminder that curiosity is the antidote to complacency. It's a belief that we can overcome this broken system and embrace a new, brighter future for next generations of humans.

10.6084/m9.figshare.28013819

Abstract

This paper examines the cyclical relationship between inferiority and superiority, highlighting how feelings of inadequacy trigger resentment, which manifests as unwarranted criticism and projection. The process begins with imposed inferiority, where comparisons to others' successes evoke self-doubt and inadequacy. This inferiority is often deflected outward through dismissive remarks, rationalizing others' achievements as undeserved. Such projection fosters a superiority complex, temporarily masking insecurity while perpetuating a broader cycle of imposed and projected inferiority. By exploring this dynamic, the paper emphasizes the importance of self-awareness, empathy, and reframing to break the cycle, transforming resentment into a foundation for personal growth and collaborative success.

10.6084/m9.figshare.28013819

Narrative

When I was a child, watching a PBS show featuring a young artist excelling in 3D modeling made me feel inadequate, as though their talent highlighted my own unearned shortcomings. Although I had access to 3D software, I didn't use it to improve myself, which deepened my sense of inferiority—I knew I could have achieved something but hadn't, relying instead on unearned experience. That feeling of inadequacy quickly turned into resentment, prompting me to dismiss their accomplishments with remarks like, "I bet their dad made that model."

Years later, I realized this reaction reflected a broader cycle I saw everywhere: people projecting their insecurities onto others through criticism or envy. Recognizing this pattern in myself, I worked to reframe those feelings, replacing resentment with admiration and using others' success as motivation for personal growth. This shift helped me break free from the cycle, transforming achievement into a source of inspiration rather than competition or bitterness.

Phase	Description in the Narrative
Imposing Inferiority	A sense of inadequacy emerges when the narrator compares personal skills to those of a PBS artist, leading to feelings of unearned success and self-doubt.
Projecting Inferiority	Insecurity is projected outward through dismissive remarks, such as rationalizing the artist's success as undeserved or externally aided (e.g., "I bet their dad made that model").
Perpetuation of the Cycle	If these projections are externalized, they may instill doubt in others. Although the PBS artist's reaction is not detailed, this phase reflects broader observations of similar cycles.
Breaking the Cycle	Self-awareness and introspection enable the narrator to recognize the roots of their resentment, reframe their emotions, and draw inspiration from the PBS artist's skills instead of resenting them.

10.6084/m9.figshare.28013819

Connection to Superiority Complexes

Reflection on this cycle reveals its deep connection to superiority complexes and the ways projection perpetuates and transfers these dynamics. When individuals project their insecurities onto others, particularly those they perceive as superior, they often resort to unwarranted or excessively harsh criticisms. These criticisms aim to diminish the achievements of others, creating a fragile, temporary sense of superiority in the critic. This sense of superiority arises not from genuine confidence but from an attempt to mask deep-seated inadequacies.

The dynamic becomes more complex when these criticisms target work of genuine merit. The person subjected to such dismissals, despite recognizing the value of their work, may experience an erosion of confidence over time. To cope with the sting of unjust critiques, they might develop a temporary superiority complex, a compensatory mechanism to shield themselves from feelings of inadequacy. However, this emotional defense does not fully counteract the impact of repeated invalidation, which may still influence their productivity or self-perception.

Conversely, the critic, driven by their own insecurities, projects inferiority onto others and temporarily bolsters their ego by invalidating others' accomplishments. This imbalance facilitates a cycle of transfer: the critic achieves a fleeting sense of dominance, while those affected internalize feelings of inferiority and, in response, adopt superiority complexes to cope. As the cycle perpetuates, individuals oscillate between perceived dominance and underlying insecurity, sustaining a broader loop of imposed and projected inferiority.

Ultimately, this pattern highlights the instability of superiority rooted in projection and criticism rather than authentic confidence or achievement. Breaking this cycle requires self-awareness and empathy to address the root causes of insecurity, fostering an environment where individuals can thrive without undermining one another. Recognizing and interrupting this harmful exchange is essential to creating spaces where growth and collaboration are prioritized over projection and critique.

10.6084/m9.figshare.28013819

The Cycle

The cycle of imposed and projected inferiority operates as a self-sustaining loop driven by insecurity. It begins with comparisons to others' successes, where feelings of inspiration are replaced by a sense of diminishment. Others' achievements highlight perceived personal shortcomings, reinforcing a narrative of inadequacy: *"You're not enough."* This marks the onset of imposed inferiority.

To escape this discomfort, individuals often deflect it outward. Internal feelings of inadequacy transform into criticism of those whose success triggered the insecurity. Rationalizations like *"They probably had help"* or *"They aren't as talented as people think"* provide temporary relief, redirecting unease onto others. However, this response fails to resolve the underlying issue and instead perpetuates the cycle.

Projected criticisms can influence others by sowing seeds of doubt and insecurity. If internalized, these feelings may lead others to project their own perceived inferiority outward, continuing the loop. Understanding this dynamic has been essential in disrupting the cycle and fostering healthier relationships with others.

1. **Inferiority Breeds Resentment**:
   Feelings of inadequacy or inferiority generate resentment, often rooted in unfavorable comparisons with others.

2. **Resentment Leads to Criticism**:
   This resentment manifests as unwarranted and dismissive criticisms aimed at diminishing the perceived superiority of others.

3. **Criticism Projects Inferiority**:
   Through these criticisms, the individual projects their own feelings of inferiority onto another, subtly imposing these emotions on the target.

4. **Projection Fosters Superiority Complex**:
   To counterbalance the insecurity, the initiator develops a superiority complex as a defense mechanism, masking their underlying inferiority.

5. **Targeted Projection Creates a Chain Reaction**:
   The initiator targets someone perceived as superior, transferring their feelings of inferiority. The affected individual, now burdened with imposed inadequacy, begins projecting similar insecurities outward.

6. **Development of Superiority in the Affected**:
   As the affected individual copes with imposed inferiority, they too develop a superiority complex, perpetuating the behavior.

7. **Cycle Reaches its Apex**:
   At the height of the superiority complex, the accumulated feelings of inferiority and superiority are unloaded back onto others, often restarting the cycle with new participants.

Empathy as a Disruption

Empathy has proven to be a powerful tool for breaking this cycle. Recognizing projection in oneself enables a clearer understanding of its presence in others. Criticism often reflects the critic's struggles rather than the actions of the criticized. This realization fosters responses rooted in understanding rather than defensiveness.

When projection arises, pausing for reflection provides clarity. Questions like, "What about this person's success is triggering?" often reveal admiration for qualities or accomplishments that have not yet been fully pursued. This awareness transforms resentment into inspiration, shifting focus from perceived deficits to potential growth.

Empathy also strengthens boundaries. Criticisms are no longer internalized as absolute truths. Instead, the pain behind others' words is acknowledged, enabling responses that balance kindness and self-protection. Empathy does not excuse toxic behavior but recognizes its origins while resisting its influence over personal emotions.

Key Elements

1. **Self-Awareness**
   Recognizing feelings of inadequacy and identifying their sources prevents automatic projection.

2. **Introspection**
   Reflecting on reactions, especially discomfort triggered by others' successes, reveals underlying fears or aspirations.

3. **Reframing**
   Reinterpreting others' achievements as inspiration rather than threats fosters personal growth and a positive outlook.

4. **Empathy**
   Understanding that criticisms often stem from others' insecurities prevents taking their words personally.

5. **Boundary-Setting**
   Empathy involves acknowledging negativity without absorbing it, allowing decisions about whether to engage or distance oneself.

By incorporating these elements, the cycle of imposed and projected inferiority can be disrupted, paving the way for growth and more meaningful connections with others.

10.6084/m9.figshare.28013819

Conclusion

The cycle of imposed and projected inferiority perpetuates itself through insecurity, resentment, and the adoption of superiority complexes. This pattern harms both the initiator and the affected, creating a chain reaction of criticism and inadequacy that undermines authentic confidence and achievement. Breaking this cycle requires recognizing projection as a reflection of internal struggles rather than an objective judgment of others.

Self-awareness and introspection are essential to disrupting automatic responses to feelings of inferiority, allowing for the reframing of others' success as a source of motivation rather than competition. Empathy serves as a powerful tool in this process, enabling individuals to understand the root causes of criticism while maintaining boundaries that prevent internalization of negativity. By fostering these practices, individuals can interrupt the cycle, replace resentment with inspiration, and cultivate an environment of growth and mutual respect. Ultimately, addressing these dynamics benefits not only individuals but also the broader relationships and communities they inhabit.

References:

Belangee, S. (2020). Inferiority/Superiority Complex. In: Zeigler-Hill, V., Shackelford, T.K. (eds) Encyclopedia of Personality and Individual Differences. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24612-3_594

Lehti, Andrew (2024). Cognitive Impasse: The Self-Perpetuating Cycle of Learned Behaviors and Cognitive Biases.. figshare. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27367785.v2

Lehti, Andrew (2024). Selective-Mindedness: An Introduction and the Illusion of Open-Mindedness. figshare. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27642519.v1

Lehti, Andrew (2024). Extrapolative Trial by Error. figshare. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27643080.v1

Lehti, Andrew (2024). Paradox of Proof by Lehti. figshare. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27613035.v1

Lehti, Andrew (2024). Volume Six: Cognitive Defensiveness: Infamicate. figshare. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27098722.v1

Lehti, Andrew (2024). Volume Four: On the Ancient Transliteration of Jove. figshare. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.26966149.v1

Lehti, Andrew (2024). On the Evolution of: Language and Di Inferi. figshare. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.26962111.v1

Lehti, Andrew (2024). Volume Two: Pertaining to the Origin: Espresso. figshare. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.26827120.v1

Lehti, Andrew (2024). Familiarity Phenomenon: Autonormia. figshare. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.26826499.v3